

**REMARKS**

Prior to consideration, entry and review of the above amendments and following remarks is respectfully requested. Applicants herein amend claims 1, 14, 26, and 32, which amendments are supported by the specification at least at p. 5, lines 11-22; page 6, line 22-26; page 8, line 1, - page 9, line 14; and at least by Figures 4, 7, 8, 12, and 13.

The Office Action rejects claims 1 and 10-14 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Vallmajo et al. (US 6,791,723) in view of Meyer et al. (US 2002/0116278). The Office Action rejects claims 3-7, 9, 16-20, and 22-38 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Vallmajo et al. in view of Meyer et al., and further in view of Redd et al. (US 6,646,754). The Office Action rejects claims 8 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Vallmajo et al. in view of Meyer et al., and further in view of Liebenow (US 2002/0085840). For at least the following reasons, Applicants traverse each rejection.

Independent claims 1, 14, 26, and 32 are herein amended to indicate that a user inputs at least one image into one of the plurality of network connected kiosks, and selects one or more image bearing product for at least one image. The identifier is generated for the image bearing product(s) selected, and is used to retrieve the associated image and generate the selected image bearing product(s) using the image.

All applied references were discussed in the previous response filed June 20, 2006, and the substance of the remarks is incorporated herein by reference.

Vallmajo et al. discloses a computer network connection from a kiosk used to access images or text from the World Wide Web, or to update program code pertaining to determining the orientation of the scanned image at the kiosk. No information travels from the kiosk through the network; only from the network to the kiosk. Vallmajo et al. does not teach, disclose, or suggest a network of kiosks capable of uploading and downloading information from the network, generating an identifier associated with an image and image bearing product, providing the identifier to a recipient, or using the identifier to access the image and generate an image bearing product.

Meyer et al. discloses depositing images, and receiving a receipt identifying the images and a code number for each image for retrieval. Meyer et al. does not

teach, disclose, or suggest that the kiosk at which image input can be done is connected to any other kiosks, selecting an image bearing product, or generating the image bearing product at a kiosk. Thus, Meyer et al. does not overcome the deficiencies of Vallmajo et al. because neither reference teaches, discloses, or suggests at least a network of connected kiosks capable of uploading and downloading information from the network, selecting an image bearing product, or retrieving the image and generating the image bearing product using the identifier.

Redd et al. is directed to a system of photo order management, and backprinting useful information for reordering on the back of the printed images. Redd et al. does not overcome the deficiencies of Vallmajo et al., Meyer et al., or any combination thereof. For example, Redd et al. does not teach, disclose or suggest at least a network of connected kiosks capable of uploading and downloading information from the network, entering an image and selecting at least one image bearing product, or generating an image bearing product at a networked kiosk.

Liebenow is relied on for the teaching of sending a telephonic message. Liebenow does not overcome the deficiencies of Vallmajo et al., Meyer et al., or any combination thereof. For example, Liebenow does not teach, disclose, or suggest at least a network of connected kiosks, entering an image and selecting at least one image bearing product, or generating an image bearing product at one of the networked kiosks.

For at least the above reasons, no combination of Vallmajo et al., Meyer et al., Redd et al., or Liebenow, nor any reference individually, teaches, discloses, or suggests all of the features of the claimed invention. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are in order, and are respectfully requested.

For at least the above reasons, all of pending claims 1, 3-14, and 16-38 are in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and prompt action in the form of a Notice of Allowance are thus respectfully solicited. Should the Examiner require anything further, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Kathleen Manne', is written over a horizontal line.

Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Registration No. 40,101

Kathleen Neuner Manne/kjw  
Rochester, NY 14650  
Telephone: 585-722-9225  
Facsimile: 585-477-1148

**If the Examiner is unable to reach the Applicant(s) Attorney at the telephone number provided, the Examiner is requested to communicate with Eastman Kodak Company Patent Operations at (585) 477-4656.**